

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,733	12/30/2003	Reinhold Kautzleben	6570P048	9038
45062 SAP/BLAKEL	7590 07/02/2007 Y		' EXAMINER	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		,	PRICE, NATHAN E	
SUNNT VALE,	, CA 94063-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	. 2194	· ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,733	KAUTZLEBEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan Price	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2004 and 30 December 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	ı) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/21/2004.	WILLY 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,733

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1 – 20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2. Claims 14 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 14 19 appear to recite elements that can be implemented in software alone and are therefore rejected as software, per se. See MPEP 2106.01. It appears that the claims do not include hardware necessary to realize the functionality of the software. The claims are therefore rejected as being directed toward non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. Claims 1, 8 and 20 are interpreted as systems or method including the recited network and hardware required to implement the recited network.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/749,733 Page 3

Art Unit: 2194

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 1, 8, 12, 14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ismael et al. (US Pat. 6,061,721; hereinafter Ismael).
- 5. As to claim 1, Ismael teaches a monitoring system comprising:

a cluster of application servers communicatively coupled on a network to serve applications over the network to a plurality of clients, each of the application servers comprising a plurality of server nodes (Fig. 1; col. 4 lines 20 – 60);

a plurality of MBean servers assigned to the plurality of server nodes (Fig. 3; col. $6 \times 10 - 30$);

a plurality of runtime MBeans associated with specified resources on each of the plurality of server nodes and registered with one of the MBean servers, each of the runtime MBeans collecting and reporting monitoring data for its associated resource (col. 6 lines 10 - 30; col. 7 lines 6 - 23); and

cluster integration logic to compile resource data collected from each of the individual runtime MBeans via the MBean servers and to provide the compiled data in a predefined organizational structure to a management interface (col. 9 lines 10 – 43).

6. As to claims 8, 14 and 20, see the rejection of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,733 Page 4

Art Unit: 2194

7. As to claims 12 and 18, Ismael teaches generating the monitor MBeans
 responsive to monitor configuration data stored within a central database (col. 13 line 19 – col. 14 line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-7, 9-11, 13, 15-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ismael as applied to claims 1, 8, 14 and 20 above, and further in view of Wilson (US 2002/0029298 A1).
- 9. As to claim 2, Ismael fails to teach a tree as claimed. However, Wilson teaches the predefined organizational structure comprises a monitor tree, the monitor tree representing a hierarchical relationship between each of the resources monitored by each of the MBeans (¶ 59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to combine these teachings because both teach managed objects.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,733 Page 5

Art Unit: 2194

10. As to claim 3, Ismael combined with Wilson teach the cluster integration logic comprises a plurality of monitor MBeans arranged in a hierarchical tree structure, each of the monitor MBeans associated with at least one of the runtime MBeans, each of the monitor MBeans to receive the resource data from its associated runtime MBean (Ismael: col. 5 lines 18 - 36; col. 6 lines 10 - 47; Wilson: ¶ 59).

- 11. As to claim 4, Ismael combined with Wilson teach a management interface to display the resource data in a graphical structure representing at least a portion of the hierarchical tree structure (Ismael: col. 9 lines 10 43; Wilson: ¶ 59).
- 12. As to claims 5 and 6, see the rejection of claims 12 and 18.
- 13. As to claim 7, Ismael combined with Wilson teach a connector associated with each MBean server to communicatively couple each MBean server to the cluster integration logic (Wilson: ¶ 61, 68).
- 14. As to claims 9 11, 13, 15 17 and 19, see the rejection of claims 2 4 and 7.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record on the P.T.O. 892 that has not been relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Careful consideration of the cited art is required prior to responding to this Office Action, see 37 C.F.R. 1.111(c).

Art Unit: 2194

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Price whose telephone number is (571) 272-4196. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am - 3:00pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NP